

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/532,166	04/20/2005	Yuji Saai	63150 (70551)	6747	
21874 EDWARDS ANGELL PALMER & DODGE LLP P.O. BOX 55874			EXAM	EXAMINER	
			ZIMMERMA	ZIMMERMAN, JOSHUA D	
BOSTON, MA 02205			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2854		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			03/21/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/532,166	SAAI ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
IOSHUA D. ZIMMERMAN	2854	

Office Action Guilliary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	JOSHUA D. ZIMMERMAN	2854					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address							
Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of them may be available under the provisions of 37 CPR 1136a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after (SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Six of the state of the sta							
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 03 Ja	nuary 2008.						
2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This	action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowan	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) 1-12 is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) 7-12 is/are withdrawn	from consideration						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-6</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner							
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce		Evaminor					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the o							
			ED 1 121/d\				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	ammer. Note the attached Office	Action of format	0-102.				
•							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).					
1.☐ Certified copies of the priority documents	have been received.						
Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International Bureau	-		9-				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
-							
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da						
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/S5/08)	5) Notice of Informal P						
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:						

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Int
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Pa

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 08-06)

Application/Control Number: 10/532,166 Page 2

Art Unit: 2854

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

 A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection.
 Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

Applicants' submission filed on 1/03/08 has been entered.

- The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- Claims 1-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- 4. The last limitation of claim 1 claim is a process step, while the preamble of the claim sets the statutory class as a product. However, according to the preamble, claim 1 is directed to a flexographic printing plate. Therefore, it is unclear if Applicants are trying to claim a system or a process. For the purposes of examination, since the last limitation of claim 1 is directed to a process step, it will be assumed that said limitation is just functional language.

Application/Control Number: 10/532,166

Art Unit: 2854

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

- Claims 1, 2 and 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Masahiro et al. (JP 61-004063).
- Regarding claim 1, Masahiro et al. disclose "a flexographic printing plate (abstract, figures) including a raised part (item 3') for transferring a printing substance to a printing substrate (abstract),

said raised part having a top surface and a side (item 3', figure 2),
said raised part being directly formed on a surface of the printing plate
(see figures); and

said top surface and said side forming an angle of not less than 90° and not more than 105° (abstract: constitution. The range of shoulder angles disclosed by Masahiro et al. is 60°-80°, inclusive, which translates into a range of angles of 100°-120°, inclusive)."

The limitation "wherein the printing substance is transferred to the printing substrate by the raised part contacting the printing substrate" is intended use language which does not result in a structural difference between the plate of Applicants and that of Masahiro et al. If the prior art is capable of performing the intended use, then it meets the claim limitation. See MPEP § 2114.

Application/Control Number: 10/532,166 Page 4

Art Unit: 2854

 Regarding claim 2, Masahiro et al. further disclose "wherein said angle is not less than 95° and not more than 100° (the range disclosed above includes 100°)."

 Regarding claim 5, Masahiro et al. teach "a method of producing a printed substance (abstract), wherein printing is performed using the flexographic printing plate according to claim 1 (abstract, figures)."

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- Claims 3 and 4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Masahiro et al. in view of Applicants' Admitted Prior Art (AAPA).
- 11. Regarding claim 3, Masahiro et al. teach all that is claimed, as applied to claim 1 above, but fail to specifically mention "wherein said top surface is shaped as a line when viewed from above, and has a bent portion." However, AAPA discloses a printing plate wherein its top surface is shaped as a line and has a bent portion (Figure 17a). Therefore, at the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to form the printing plate of Masahiro et al. in accordance with the shape and configuration disclosed by AAPA in figure 17a in order to print a desired pattern on a substrate.

Application/Control Number: 10/532,166 Page 5

Art Unit: 2854

12. Regarding claim 4, Masahiro et al. teach all that is claimed, as applied to claim 1 above, but fail to specifically disclose "a flexographic printer including the flexographic printing plate according to claim 1." However, AAPA discloses a flexographic printer including a flexographic printing plate (Figure 16) in order to print a desired pattern on a substrate. Therefore, at the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to use the printing plate of Masahiro et al. in the printer of AAPA in order to print a desired pattern on a substrate.

Claim 6 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Masahiro et al. in view of Chen et al.

13. Regarding claim 6, Masahiro et al. teach all that is claimed, as applied to claim 5 above, but fail to specifically teach "wherein printing is performed using a printing substance with a viscosity of not less than 40 Pa·s."

Chen et al. teach "using a printing substance with a viscosity of not less than 40 Pa·s" in order to print on textiles or absorbent webs (paragraph 15).

Therefore, at the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to use a higher viscosity printing substance in the method of Masahiro et al. in order to print on textiles.

Response to Arguments

14. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-6 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection. Application/Control Number: 10/532,166

Art Unit: 2854

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to JOSHUA D. ZIMMERMAN whose telephone number is (571)272-2749. The examiner can normally be reached on M-R 8:30A - 6:00P, Alternate Fridays 8:30A-5:00P.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Judy Nguyen can be reached on 571-272-2258. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Judy Nguyen/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2854 Joshua D Zimmerman Examiner Art Unit 2854